

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/713,721 11/14/2003 Michel Spagnol RN95007D2 2079 7590 11/16/2004 EXAMINER RHODIA INC. JOHNSON, CHRISTINA ANN 259 Prospect Plains Road CRANBURY, NJ 08512 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1725

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s) √(i)
Office Action Summary	10/713,721	SPAGNOL ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Christina Johnson	1725
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirt- od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' tute. cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed ly (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 November 2003</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 40-63 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdi 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 40-63 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ad	ccepted or b) objected to b	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Ap iority documents have been r au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No. <u>08/702,723</u> . received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	_	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Su	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Info 6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 1725

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 51 is objected to because of the following informalities: "whereinthe" should be "wherein the". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 40-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 40 recites "The process for regeneration of a catalyst based on a zeolite."

 This limitation renders the claim indefinite because the claim lacks proper antecedent basis for "The process" as claim 40 is independent. It is suggested that applicant amend the claim to recite "A process."
- 5. Claim 47 recites the limitation "wherein the zeolite is a zeolite Beta and Y." This limitation renders the claim indefinite because it appears that only a single zeolite is used. It is suggested that applicant amend the claims to recite "or" instead of "and."
- 6. Claim 48 recites the limitation "wherein the zeolite is deposited on the zeolite." It is suggested that applicant amend the claim to recite "the metal element M is deposited on the zeolite."

Application/Control Number: 10/713,721

Art Unit: 1725

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 8. Claims 40-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Murakawa et al.

Murakawa et al. (US 5,260,238) discloses a process for regenerating a deactivated catalyst. The catalyst comprises a zeolite with a noble metal of group VIII (column 2, lines 55-65). Suitable zeolite are natural or synthetic zeolites and include X, Y, L, mordenite, and ZSM-5 (column 2, lines 58-62). It is taught that the catalyst is regenerated by contacting the catalyst under oxidative conditions at a temperature of 100-600 degrees C (column 3, lines 35-45). The oxidative conditions include the use of oxygen (column 3, lines 35-45) and in an example a mixture of oxygen and nitrogen gas is used (Example 3).

The acylation process steps recited in claims 51-63 are noted by the examiner.

These limitations have been considered recitations of intended use which do not limit the regeneration process claimed.

As each and every element of the claimed invention is taught in the prior art as recited above, the claims are anticipated by Murakawa et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,721

Art Unit: 1725

Conclusion

Page 4

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to

applicant's disclosure.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Christina Johnson whose telephone number is (571)

272-1176. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:30-5, with

Alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christina Johnson Patent Examiner

Art Unit 1725

11/12/04

CAJ November 12, 2004